INTRODUCTION:

Bone metastases are a common manifestation of malignancy that can cause severe and debilitating effects including pain, spinal cord compression, hypercalcemia, and pathologic fracture. Radiation therapy has a proven track record in the palliation of bone metastases. Following a course of palliative treatment, approximately one-third of patients will have complete relief of pain, and two-thirds of patients will have significant reduction in their pain. The optimal delivery of radiation therapy has been the focus of multiple trials looking at the best dose fractionation. Common dose fractionation schedules have shown good rates of palliation, including 8 Gy in 1 fraction, 20 Gy in 4 fractions, 24 Gy in 6 fractions, or 30 Gy in 10 fractions. All provide excellent pain control with minimal side effects. The benefit of the single fraction is that it is the most convenient for patients, whereas the advantage of a longer course of treatment has the advantage of a lower incidence of re-treatment to the same site. Dose fractionation is typically determined based on location of the metastasis, patient’s clinical status, previous irradiation treatment, etc. Therefore, multiple factors must be reviewed prior to prescribing palliative radiotherapy.

GOAL OF THE GUIDELINE:

This guideline outlines several methods suitable for the employment of radiation therapy in conjunction with bone metastasis treatment. The following indications serve as guidelines only, and are based on both the ACR Appropriateness Criteria and the ASTRO Evidence Based Guideline. The use of extended fraction (>10) and/or the use of IMRT/SBRT/protons are not considered to be the standard of care, with relatively limited data to support its use. The ASTRO Task Force suggests that “SBRT be reserved for patients who fit specific inclusion and exclusion criteria, who are treated in centers with sufficient training and experience, and preferably within the confines of a radiotherapeutic trial.” Furthermore, the Task Force states that “SBRT should not be the primary treatment of vertebral bone lesions causing spinal cord compression.”

Finally, 2 dimensional planning, one or two fields, and limited if any blocking would be usual and customary. The use of daily IGRT, multiple fields with complex blocking are generally inappropriate for the treatment of bone metastasis.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

2D is considered medically necessary for the treatment majority of patients requiring treatment for bone metastases.
MEDICALLY NECESSARY INDICATIONS FOR RADIATION THERAPY:

- Conventional 2D planning techniques is appropriate for the treatment of bone metastases.
- 3D-CRT may be indicated in select cases such as situations of re-treatment, overlapping volumes or adjacent critical structures that are likely to cause complications. Requests for 3D-CRT must be accompanied by supporting clinical rationale.

Favorable Risk: (Good performance status = ECOG less than 3)
- EBRT – Up to 10 fractions for multiple bone metastases
- EBRT – Up to 14 fractions for spinal cord compression symptoms or single lesion or instances that require a longer fractionated course to minimize patient discomfort (e.g. nausea).

Unfavorable Risk: (Poor performance status = ECOG 3 or greater or progressive metastatic disease)
- EBRT – Up to 5 fractions

Requests and supporting rationale for additional fractions can be discussed with a physician reviewer.

TREATMENT OPTIONS REQUIRING ADDITIONAL CLINICAL RATIONALE:

Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT)

IMRT is not indicated as a standard treatment option and should not be used routinely for the delivery of radiation therapy for bone metastasis. IMRT may be appropriate for limited circumstances in which radiation therapy is indicated and 3D conformal radiation therapy (3D-CRT) techniques cannot adequately deliver the radiation prescription without exceeding normal tissue radiation tolerance, the delivery is anticipated to contribute to potential late toxicity or tumor volume dose heterogeneity is such that unacceptable hot or cold spots are created.

Requests for IMRT require physician review of the clinical rationale and documentation for performing IMRT rather than 2D or 3D-CRT treatment planning and delivery. Supporting documentation will need to:

- Demonstrate how 3D-CRT isodose planning cannot produce a satisfactory treatment plan (as stated above) via the use of patient specific dose volume histograms and isodose plans.
- Provide tissue constraints for both the target and affected critical structures.

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT)

Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy is not a standard treatment option for the treatment of bone metastasis. A peer review is required with a radiation oncologist.
Proton Beam Radiation Therapy

Proton beam is not an approved treatment option for bone metastasis.
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